MIRIAM HOPKINS (18 October 1902, Bainbridge, Georgia—9 October 1972; heart attack) appeared in 35 films, the first of which was Fast and Loose 1930. Her last film was Savage Intruder 1968. Some of the others were Fanny Hill 1964, The Children's Hour 1961, Virginia City 1940, Becky Sharp 1935, The Story of Temple Drake 1933 (an adaptation of Faulkner's Sanctuary, which caused such a stir it led, according to many film historians, to the infamous Code), and Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde 1931. She started doing dramatic television work early, with an episode of "Studio One" in 1948. She also appeared in "Lux Video Theatre," "General Electric Theater," "Pulitzer Prize Playhouse," and "The Outer Limits." Her last role was in "Beretrille and the Silent Flicks," an episode of "The Flying Nun" filmed in 1967 but not aired until November 26, 1969.


CHARLES RUGGLES (8 February 1886, Los Angeles—23 December 1970, Hollywood; cancer) was the voice of Aesop in "The Bullwinkle Show" and Charlie Ruggles on the 1949-52 tv series, "The Ruggles." Late in his career, he did a good deal of tv work, appearing in such series as "The Beverly Hillbillies" "Bonanza," "Laredo," "The Munsters," "Bewitched," "The Andy Griffith Show," "The Man from U.N.C.L.E." and "Wagon Train." He also acted in more than 100 films, some of which were The Parent Trap 1961, Give My Regards to Broadway 1948,


“...the famous ‘Lubitsch touch’ is misleadingly named, for it is not so much something added to a story as a method of telling a story through ellipsis and emphasis. Omitting the obvious presentation, Lubitsch substitutes allusive detail, and then emphasizes that detail, not simply to be sure that even a hick audience gets the point, but in such a way that the sweet nothing becomes the ornamental equivalent of the dramatic sense. As one of the censors bitterly complained, after the Hays Code had clamped down in 1933, ‘you know what he’s saying but you just can’t prove that he’s saying it!’” Raymond Durgnat, The Crazy Mirror

Hollywood Comedy and the American Image, 1969

A star director in Germany, Lubitsch came to the United States in 1923; by 1929, he was Paramount’s biggest name. In Germany, he had begun by directing historical dramas and turned to comedy.... His work for Paramount between 1932 and 1933 reflected a facile nihilism about personal relations. It was not the chaotic destructiveness of the Marxes, but for all the flip ‘sophistication’ Lubitsch applied to the whole culture to be amused by it as well.

As the critic Michael Wilmington observed, Lubitsch movies “were at once elegant and ribald, sophisticated and earthy, urbane and bemused, frivolous yet profound. They were directed by a man who was amused by sex rather than frightened by it—and who taught a whole culture to be amused by it as well.”

For this was the secret of Lubitsch’s films: they were fantasies of blithe sexuality and emotional noninvolvement, not just for the
audience, but for him as well. In this superior world of the imagination, the men are tall and elegant and humorously adept at getting a beautiful lady into bed, and the women are capable of giving as well as receiving love.

[when filming Madame DuBarry with Pola Negri and Emil Jannings] Standing in for Versailles was Frederick the Great’s Sans Souci, in Potsdam. When the company arrived to do their location work, the weather was cold and Negri was wearing flannel underwear under the thin silks of her costumes. Noticing the slight bulkiness, Lubitsch lifted her dress and snapped, “Get into some silk panties. Can you imagine DuBarry wearing those?” Negri obeyed, and froze for the rest of the location shoot.

Leni Sonnet was an attractive, very sensual woman, just the sort to bring a hidebound, lonely man suddenly roaring out of his workaholic’s closet. She was also another in a long line of non-Jewish blondes that Lubitsch would be attracted to. A typical man of his time, Lubitsch would tell Leni that he would never be able to marry a Jewish woman. “It would be like marrying my sister,” he claimed. [they married shortly thereafter]

Lubitsch was probably thinking of Negri when he wrote in 1932 that “The relation between a director and an actress is like the relation between a man and his mistress. They are bound together by contract, but there is no sentimental attachment.”

As the first German to emigrate to Hollywood after World War I, Ernst was the point man in a brain drain that, over the next ten years, would decimate German film.

Nathan Burkan, the attorney for Charlie Chaplin, Pickford’s partner [she’d chosen Lubitsch to direct her in her first adult film role] in United Artists, quietly met Ernst in New York in an effort to defuse any bad press. Lubitsch’s appearance did not jibe with the image of a director who had “humanized history.” He wore egg-top trousers and banana yellow boots, and had several gold teeth in the front of his mouth. He looked like a middle-class Jewish burgher.

After considerable struggle, Burkan outfitted him with a new wardrobe and had his own dentist replace the gold teeth with porcelain ones.

[When he arrived in LA there were WWI American veterans protesting his working in America.]

[working on Forbidden Paradise with Negri she protested a negligee was unwieldy and dangerous to run in]

“Nonsense,” Lubitsch soothed her. “You’ll manage perfectly.”

“If it catches on the railing I’ll break my neck.”

“What’s wrong with you? We did much more dangerous stunts in Berlin.”

“I was younger then.”

“Three years younger.” Furious, Lubitsch marched her into a dressing room, snatched the negligee off her, and stepped into it. Dressed in the negligee, puffing on his cigar, Lubitsch dashed down the stairs and back again, conclusively proving that the costume was not dangerous and that it looked better on Negri.

For Negri, this was just like old times, “fighting on a set again and both enjoying it enormously.” But Lubitsch’s tolerance for temperament had decreased as his own importance had increased; he would never work with Negri again.

[Patsy Ruth Miller] “What particularly endeared him to me was the fact that he loved America. Some of the foreign directors, like Victor Seastrom, were so scornful. Not a warm personality. But Ernst loved America, loved the American people.”

Lubitsch felt comfortable with Miller and let down his guard of impersonal geniality when he told her that “You must take care of your money. You must save your money. You must always have enough money.”

Confused, the actress asked why.

“Because then you don’t have to be nice to anybody you don’t like.”

Lubitsch would freely admit that the picture was worked out in his mind to such an extent that, once the script was done, “I’ve finished the picture, All I have to do is photograph it. . .As you write the script, you cut the film, you build the sets, you light your players, you design their wardrobe, you set the tempo, you delineate the characters. . .For me, it is virtually all done in the script.” By the time a script was finished, Ernst almost never referred to it, having long since committed it to memory. Shooting a film rarely took more than eight weeks.

With his passion for all things Hungarian, Lubitsch probably knew of [the swindler and thief Georges] Georges Manolescu, whose name, in the film, was slightly altered to Gaston Moneescu. [Manolescu’s 1907 Memoirs had previously resulted in at least two silent films]. Trouble in Paradise continued Lubitsch’s habit of latching onto an obscure, inferior play, usually Hungarian, and playing Pygmalion to his dog-eared Galatea. Although these works were nearly always structurally flawed (“You could have a play that fell apart and still have a success in Budapest,” remembered Raphaelson), they invariably had an intriguing central situation and romantic characters. That was all Lubitsch needed. Psychologically, it made more sense to him to fix what was broken than to build from the ground up. (In the fifteen years remaining to him, Lubitsch would produce only one entirely original script. Proving that he was a gifted creator as well as an interpreter, To Be or Not to Be was one of his greatest films.)

Some parts were written for specific actors such as Edward Everett Horton and Charlie Ruggles. The hushed, murmuring Herbert Marshall seems to have come in later in the casting process. Lubitsch must have been amused by Marshall’s way with women; while very much married, Marshall managed affairs with both Kay Francis and Miriam Hopkins, as well as a serious relationship with Gloria Swanson, all within the space of a few years.
As always, Lubitsch and “Sem” slaved over the script. “We spent—oh, maybe three days getting that opening shot,” remembered Raphaelson. “He wouldn’t be content unless we got a brilliant opening shot. We wanted to introduce Venice. . . Now, pictorially, the conventional way of saying that is to open on a long shot of Venice, medium shot of wherever you want to be, and close shot on the canal and the house, and then you go inside the house or hotel or whatever it is. That’s the conventional way.

“Now,” Lubitsch would sit and say, “How do we do that without doing that?”

What Lubitsch and Raphaelson finally came up with was the famous opening where the singer of a glorious operatic air turns out to be a trash collector. Even in glorious, romantic Venice, someone has to pick up the garbage, but this being Venice—and Lubitsch—they must do it with panache. This sardonic undercutting of the ordinary is quintessential “Lubitsch touch,” but the director was careful not to overdo it.

“Other times, he started [writing the script] right away,” Raphaelson told Barry Sabath. “He didn’t want to get a brilliant opening shot. Here, he felt he wanted it. He wanted to open with laughter and with style—and style, of course, is the essence of Lubitsch.”

Lubitsch orchestrates his film with matchless grace and style to the nth degree, using all manner of optical devices—dissolves, wipes—even near-recitatives to move the film along on its toes. And, his professional luck was holding; Trouble in Paradise was released just a year and a half ahead of the imposition of the Production Code, which would have made a story centering on sexual swapping and resolutely unpunished crime impossible.

More heavily scored than most 1932 films, Lubitsch directs Trouble in Paradise as if it were an art deco musical, with the dialogue in place of lyrics and the characters as the elegant score. Miriam Hopkins runs at her usual frantic pace, but Herbert Marshall and the languid, knowing Kay Francis become the film’s shimmering, tranquil erotic center.

Trouble in Paradise is perhaps Lubitsch’s clearest statement yet on the tenuous nature of romantic relationships, and on the necessity of variation and some gentle mutual deceit to stave off lethargy and boredom. It’s a dazzling Möbius strip of erotic allusion, genial irony and dégagé visual lyricism and elegance. There’s self-consciousness in the characters—their arch sophistication is always poised on the precipice of parody, yet never quite tumbles in—but there is no self-righteousness. Gaston may be cheerfully amoral, but he never mocks the pretensions and vanities of the rich while he’s stealing from them, because what he really wants is to live like them. It’s nice work if you can get it.

At the same time Lubitsch creates a world that, underneath the glowing surfaces of Hans Dreier’s furniture and sets, is recognizably real. People steal while pretending to be honest, they fret more over a lost handbag than over the starving people they pass on their way to work. These ironies are never stated directly, but they’re there nonetheless.

The rate of consumption of Madame Colet and her friends is so spectacular—and so casual—that Lubitsch’s clear implication is that they deserve to be robbed. What sets Madame Colet apart is that she seems to realize that. That a man like Gaston Monescu is doing the robbing is merely poetic justice. Although without work or training, his industriousness marks him as their (im)moral superior. Equally ruthless, but swifter, more elegant, he is one of them.

“There is Paramount’s Paris and Metro Paris, and of course the real Paris. Paramount’s is the most Parisian of all.” Ernst Lubitsch

“As for pure style, I have done nothing better or as good as Trouble in Paradise, he wrote in 1947.

Join us next week, Tuesday, October 2, for Preston Sturges’s classic SULLIVAN’S TRAVELS (1942). A Hollywood director, blocked on his new movie “Oh Brother, Where Art Thou?”, goes out into the world to find meaning. He finds more than he bargained for. “Sullivan’s Travels,” writes Tim Dirks, “satiﬁes Hollywood pretension and excesses—Sturges’ particular brand of sophisticated verbal wit and dialogue, satire and slapstick... This journey film mixes every conceivable genre type and tone of film possible—tragic melodrama, farce, slapstick, romance, comedy, action, and even musical, in about a dozen sequences.” Selected for the National Film Registry. [Did you like Oh Brother, Where Art Thou? Now you know where they got the title. Join us next week and see why they loved its source enough to engage in that bit of theft.]

If you can’t wait until Tuesday for another great film, come down to MAFAC Sunday at 3:00 for this week’s presentation in the MAFAC Sunday Classics series, Jacques Rivette’s hallucinatory fable, based on a Henry James Story, CELINE AND JULIE GO BOATING (1974). For a complete schedule with descriptions of each film visit http://www.sundayclassics.com. The Jewish Community Center of Greater Buffalo’s 17th Annual Film Festival runs October 13-18. For film list, screening times and ticket prices go to http://www.jccbuffalo.org/page21.html.
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